

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,223	09/28/2001	James B. Kargman	2002052	2002052 5896 EXAMINER	
34018	7590 08/05/2004		EXAM		
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			JASMIN, LYNDA C		
77 WEST WA SUITE 2500	CKER DRIVE	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	IL 60601-1732		3627		
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s) KARGMAN, JAMES B.	
,		09/966,223		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Lynda Jasmin	3627	Mu
Pe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appriod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered time in the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	dy. communication.
Sta	atus			
;	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Sec</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		e merits is
Nic	sposition of Claims			
	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideration.		
Αp	plication Papers			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	, ,	ED 4 404(d)
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	,	•	, ,
	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National	l Stage
_	achment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)	
	☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o) [] Outer		

Application/Control Number: 09/966,223

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-24, drawn to "Method of electronically executing a commercial transaction", classified in class 705, subclass 64.
 - II. Claims 25-39, drawn to "Method for the dissemination of information to a mobile electronic user device based upon the device location", classified in class 705, subclass 26.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, inventions I and II have separate utilities. Invention I uses transaction code to identify a user and a commercial transaction associated with the transaction code. Invention II identifies the location of a user and determines that the location conforms to predetermined location criterion for receipt of a message. Thus Inventions I and II have different functions/effects and could be used separately. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and because they have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,223

Art Unit: 3627

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I, Figures 1-3C and 6-8; Species II, Figure 4 and Species III, Figure 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there are no generic claims.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,223

Art Unit: 3627

Page 4

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (8:00-5:30) alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627